

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

Serial Number: 00/211,873

SERIAL NUMBER. - FILING DATE

08/211.873 06/03/94 VERDUIJN

To fellowing is a quotation on the control of the c VERDUIJN EXAMINER " SAMPLE Daragray ART UNIT CI PAPER NUMBER E. F. SHERER E. F. SHERER

EXXON TCHEMICAL GOMPANY chilles to a patcht true

BAYTOWN POLYMERS'GENTER was priested or described 1108,

5200 BAYWAY: abrive in 17.5 for C. CountryDate MALLED:

BAYTOWN, SPX 677520 by 25 this one year price 12/14/94

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. (In the United States COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Responsive to communication filed on 122/47 U This action is made final content by Cult 1 (US 4.66) days from the date of this letter. Claims 1-5, application has been examined Responsive to communication filled on the control by Cul - for the cul - for the control by Cul - for the control by Cul - for the cull - for the cul - for the cull A shortened sugmenty period to response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part in THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

NYOTO XI de, Libenzyldiz. 1 hyl Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892 la 1 silic Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, P10-1995.

6. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO: 1474-rig Conf. Inc. Cite., Inc. Cite. *** is allowed to crystallize (see lines are pending in the applic discloses ger, nover, ap licunts us IOT BOSSETSON are allowed. limitation of "su for jent organic 18 inherent in the reference disclosure of are subject to restriction or election req 6. Claims
Organic Templating agent to silica molar ratio of the administration purposes.

7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.

1 no 50 col. 7). This molar ratio also anticipates instant

8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. C i → i m 10. 9. ☐ The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on . . Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on. examiner: disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). has been approved; disapproved (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed 13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for form accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTOL-325 (Rev. 2/93)

Serial Number: 08/211,873 -2-

Art Unit: 1108

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed
publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or
on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the
date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-5, 7, 10, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.
§ 102(b) as being anticipated by Calvert et al. (US 4,642,226).

The reference discloses a method of making zeolite beta wherein sodium aluminate, sodium hydroxide, dibenzyldimethyl ammonium hydroxide and colloidal silica are mixed to form a gel then heated to 98°C under refluxing conditions (i.e., heated to boiling) and zeolite beta is allowed to crystallize (see lines 35-64 col. 7, particularly example 2). The reference discloses an additional step of forming a gel, however, applicants "comprising" terminology allows for additional steps.

The applicants claim 4 limitation of "sufficient organic directing agent to cause substantially complete dissolution of the silica source" is inherent in the reference disclosure of an organic templating agent to silica molar ratio of 0.62 (see line 50 col. 7). This molar ratio also anticipates instant claim 10.

Serial Number: 08/211,873 -3-

Art Unit: 1108

In regards to instant claims 5 and 7, example 2 discloses the addition of $NaAlO_2$ to the reaction mixture during zeolite beta formation (see line 34 col. 7).

In regards to instant claim 12, example 2 discloses an OH $/\text{SiO}_2$ molar ratio of 0.34.

In regards to the claims 1-3, the reference does not disclose the various morphological properties claimed by applicants. Since the method of making disclosed by the zeolite is the patentably the same, the properties are assumed to be inherent to the product.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Serial Number: 08/211,873 -4-

Art Unit: 1108

Evaluations of the level of ordinary skill in the art require consideration of such factors as various prior art approaches, types of problems encountered in the art, rapidity with which innovations are made, sophistication of technology involved, educational background of those actively working in the field, commercial success, and failure of others.

The "person having ordinary skill" in this art has the capability of understanding the scientific and engineering principles applicable to the claimed invention. The evidence of record including the references and/or the admissions are considered to reasonably reflect this level of skill.

4. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Calvert et al. (US 4,642,226).

As described above, the reference discloses a method of making a molecular sieve that is the same as the instant invention. The examples disclosed by the reference relied upon for the above rejection do not disclose using a solid source of silica in general or silicic acid specifically (the instant claim 8 and 9 limitations). The reference does, however, teach silicic acid to be equivalent to the colloidal silica used in the examples (see lines 63-65 col. 2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used

Serial Number: 08/211,873 -5-

Art Unit: 1108

silicic acid as a source of silica in the method taught by

Calvert et al. because silicic acid is a functionally equivalent
to colloidal silica.

Note that the reference teaches the instant claim 8 limitation of vigorous stirring at col. 7 line 52.

5. Claims 1-6 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Argauer et al. (US 3,702,886).

Argauer et al. teach a method of making ZSM-5 by preparing an aqueous solution of tetrapropyl ammonium hydroxide, SiO₂ and sodium aluminate at 100°C and then crystallizing at a temperature of 100-175°C (see lines 25-26 col. 8 and lines 4-5 col. 16). The crystallization temperature overlaps applicants claimed range of 100-120°C.

In regards to claim 5, the reference teaches that aluminum and sodium are present in the synthesis mixture (see lines 25-30 col. 8).

In regards to claim 6, the reference teaches the formation of ZSM-5 (line 37 col. 8) which is an MFI type zeolite.

In regards to claim 10, the reference teaches an organic to silica molar ratio of 0.30 (see lines 30-31 col. 8). This teaching is further relied upon for the claim 4 limitation of sufficient organic "to cause substantially complete dissolution of the silica source."

Serial Number: 08/211,873 -6-

Art Unit: 1108

In regards to claim 11, the reference teaches tetraalkyl ammonium cations in general and tetrapropyl ammonium hydroxide specifically (see lines 33-34 col. 2 and line 25 col. 8).

In regards to claim 12, the reference teaches an OH^*/SiO_2 ratio of 0.3-0.8 (see line 14 col. 16).

In regards to instant claims 1-3, the reference fails to teach a molecular sieve with each of the claimed morphological properties, however, as discussed above, the method of making the zeolite is substantially the same as that claimed by applicants. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would expect that the reference product would have the same properties, lacking evidence to the contrary.

The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected the overlapping portion of the temperature range disclosed by the reference because overlapping ranges have been held to be a prima facie case of obviousness, see in re Malagari, 182 U.S.P.Q. 549.

6. Claim 13 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Serial Number: 08/211,873 -7-

Art Unit: 1108

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Sample whose telephone number is (703)308-3582. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell, can be reached at (703)308-3823. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)305-3599.

Any inquiry of the general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0661.

DRS

December 8, 1994

MARK L. BELL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

GROUP 1100